IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Ì

IN DE. MATIONAL ECOTRALL LEACUE	No. 2:12-md-02323-AB
IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS' CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION	MDL No. 2323
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL ACTIONS	Hon. Anita B. Brody
<u>ORDER</u>	
AND NOW , this _9th day of July, 2018, it is ORDERED that the Locks Firm's	
Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's Explanation and Order (ECF Nos. 10072 & 10073) is	
DENIED. ¹	
s/A	anita B. Brody
	ANITA B. BRODY, J.
Copies VIA ECF on to:	Copies MAILED on to:
In order to prevail on a motion for reconsideration, the moving party must demonstrate one of the following: "(1) an intervening change in the controlling law; (2) the availability of new evidence that was not available when the court granted the motion; or (3) the need to	

correct a clear error of law or fact or to prevent a manifest injustice." *Max's Seafood Café ex rel. Lou-Ann, Inc. v. Quinteros*, 176 F.3d 669, 677 (3d Cir. 1999). The Locks Law Firm has not made

such a demonstration.